



general
purpose
committee

2
section

infrastructure

GENERAL PURPOSE COMMITTEE - INFRASTRUCTURE**Table of Contents****Meeting Date:** 26 March 2002**Page 2**

ITEM	SUBJECT	PAGE
SECTION 2 - INFRASTRUCTURE.		
5:	Closure of Laneway Off Sirius Road, Bligh Park 1954/R Pt 2, GR080/069/CSV/INC26NBX.C05	3
6:	Enhancement of Memorial Park, Kurrajong PK/008 Pt3/ENG/INC26NBX.E07	4
7:	Official Naming of Kemsley Downs Reserve/Redbank Creek Reserve PK/251/ENG/INC26NBX.E02	8
8:	The Richmond Mainstreet Program - Woodhills Car Park, Richmond - Extension of Time Restriction GT230/019 PT04/ENG/INC26NBX.E03	9
9:	Baker Street Carpark - Lighting 0272/R Pt2/ENG/INC26NBX.E13	11
10:	Proposed Drainage within Lot 15 (DP39350) No 14 Manns Road, Wilberforce 1304/R Pt2 P1304/40/ENG/INC26NBX.E09	12
11:	Un-named Quarter Sessions Road, Colo - Naming Proposal GR120/003 Pt3/ENG/INC26NBX.E08	14
12:	Yarramundi Bridge GR070/010 Pt2/ENG/INC26NCX.E01	16
13:	Windsor Mall GR120/016 Pt5/ENG/INC26NCX.E11	17
14:	Bellbird Hill Lookout P243/5425/ENG/INC26NCX.E10	19
15:	Proposed Kerb & Gutter adjacent to Freemans Reach Primary School 2312/R Pt7/ENG/INC26NCX.E06	21
16:	Waterways Authority Noise Limits PK/205/ENG/INC26NCX.E12	22

oooO END OF TABLE OF CONTENTS Oooo

ITEM: 5 **Closure of Laneway Off Sirius Road, Bligh Park**

FILE NUMBER: 1954/R Pt 2, GR080/069/CSV/INC26NBX.C05

PREVIOUS ITEM: 1, GPC Section 2 - Infrastructure (29.01.2002)

PREVIOUS ITEM: 11, GPC Section 2 - Infrastructure (27.03.2001)

REPORT:

This matter was reported to Council on 29 January 2002 and the committee's recommendation was:

"That formal procedures be commenced to close the laneway between Lots 81 and 82, DP 709025".

Public consultation was undertaken and valuation reports were obtained from K D Wood & Associates (Valuers). Negotiations between Council and the owners of Lots 81 and 82 (DP 709025), regarding the sale of the laneway, were then undertaken.

Neither of the adjoining owners have expressed an interest in purchasing or leasing the laneway due to the costs associated with both scenarios. It is therefore recommended that the laneway remain open to the public.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the laneway between Lots 81 and 82, DP 709025 remain open to the public.

ATTACHMENTS:

There are no supporting documents for this report.

oooO END OF ITEM 5 Oooo

ITEM: 6 Enhancement of Memorial Park, Kurrajong**FILE NUMBER:** PK/008 Pt3/ENG/INC26NBX.E07

REPORT:

Memorial Park is currently an open recreation area with few structures and very basic facilities including accessible amenities, playground, minimal park furniture and a war memorial. Requests for enhancement of the park have been received for a number of years, with the Kurrajong Small Business Forum, Kurrajong RSL sub-branch and the Scarecrow Festival committee all making representations. A draft landscape design plan was prepared and Council resolved to adopt the plan and advertise it for community comment.

Community consultation occurred during 1999, and a landscape design plan was developed by landscape architects in response to the issues raised. These issues were:

1. The placement of a stage in the location of the existing benched area in the centre of the park;
2. A power source with three 240 volt and one 3 phase outlet needs to be installed in close proximity to the stage;
3. Refurbishment of the surrounds of the memorial including relaying the paving, installation of a metal fence and floodlighting;
4. Landscaping of the steep embankments including retaining walls where required, minimal tree removal to ensure appropriate view of the stage, planting of shade trees in appropriate locations, and planting along the roadside boundary of the carpark;
5. Formalisation of the carpark;
6. Pedestrian footpath ,especially for the elderly and those less able to access the park via the current steep embankment, from the entrance at the fire station linking the amenities, playground and war memorial, and through to the opposite corner of the park;
7. Construction of a park entrance structure;
8. Kerb and gutter of the remaining section of the park;
9. Installation of picnic shelters, BBQ and park furniture.

In 2001 Mather and Associates, who developed the landscaping plan, were asked to develop an appropriate stage design taking into consideration previous community input. A community consultation plan was then developed seeking community input into this stage design and due to the time delay, further feedback on the overall landscaping plan was also sought. A community meeting was then held at the CWA Hall Kurrajong on 21 February 2002 to discuss these issues.

Feedback from the meeting and written correspondence from 10 people/groups have outlined the following issues:

Stage

- Concern was raised regarding noise that events will cause
- A permanent timber/concrete stage would be a target for vandals.
- Money would be better spent to provide a larger Community Hall for Kurrajong.
- The stage design should provide some storage space
- Sides to the stage (eg wings) need to be able to be installed for when the stage would be required for plays/shows .
- The stage should also be faced with slab timber NOT pine logs.

Proposed Action

Any events would need to comply with the Protection of the Environment Operations Act (Noise Regulation 2000) in that amplified music is to be under 5(d)BA above ambient level. Storage within the stage was not seen as ideal due to vandalism issues.

Mill Stones

- The mill stones to be removed from the concrete casing, which is damaging the stones, and be suitably mounted near the War Memorial (The Kurrajong Colo Sub - Branch of RSL whilst acknowledging the mill stones significance feel that they should not be placed near the memorial).
- A plaque/sign to be made to indicate their significance.

Proposed Action

The mill stones be removed from the concrete casing as soon as possible. The mill stones be then suitably mounted in a location nominated by the Kurrajong - Comleroy Historical Society, other than near the memorial.

War Memorial

- The Kurrajong Colo Sub - Branch of RSL agree what is suggested in the landscape plan by Mather and Associates.
- The area in front of the memorial be levelled to a gentle grass slope to accommodate seating for all services.
- Provision for more suitable floodlighting for Dawn Services is also required.

Proposed Action

The landscaping plan incorporate these additional earth works.

Landscaping

- Amphitheatre - Bank widened & terraced, using timber retaining walls (3 to 4 Tiers) and grassed for seating. No historical reason to prevent earthworks.
- Small rose garden to be developed near plaque to signify Memorial Park
- Extra seating, shelters and litter bins be provided
- Drainage needs to be upgraded

INFRASTRUCTURE

Meeting Date: 26 March, 2002

Item: 6

- Garden areas to be mulched and a watering system installed
- Paths needs to be non slip surface, wide and have handrails on both sides. There was some concern about the pathway having steps.
- Remove the weed Privet
- There is currently limited car parking spaces and thus cars are parking in driveways and blocking frontages. Any additional parking to not be part of the park
- Access by cars to the park should be limited by a gate. No large vehicles should have access to the park.
- Move the picnic area near closer to the car park, eastern boundary. The provision of BBQ's was seen as a bad idea
- Encourage Integral Energy to remove overhead wires
- Ensure pathway has lighting
- The playground should not be downsized due to its popularity and usage from young people.
- Ensure landscaping does not limit visibility and thus become a haven for undesirables

Proposed Action

The landscape plan be amended to integrate the above issues where possible.

Cost estimates that were provided for the stage and landscaping design total approximately \$288,000 and consequently, the project is required to be done in stages. The following is a summary of the costings and the suggested stages:

Stage 1 Priorities

	<i>Cost Estimate</i>
Site preparation (including retention of topsoil and disposal of spoil etc)	1,500
Tree Removal (four trees)	2,000
Earthworks (grade paths and planting areas)	3,000
Retaining Walls	19,585
Concrete Works (pedestrian footpath, steps & furniture slabs)	19,450
Relocate Mill Wheel and Plaque	2,000
Park Furniture	12,000
Upgrade War Memorial	5,595
Planting (embankment plantings only)	43,750
Garden Edging and Turf	6,470
<i>Total</i>	<i>115,350</i>

Stage 2 Priorities

	<i>Cost Estimate</i>
Car Park upgrade	13,174
Stage	68,200
Park Furniture	10,000
Planting (shade trees, screen plantings)	19,475
<i>Total</i>	<i>110,849</i>

INFRASTRUCTURE

Meeting Date: 26 March, 2002

Item: 6

Stage 3 Priorities

Playground and Softfall Replacement

Park Entry Structure

Total

Cost Estimate

35,000

12,000

47,000

Funding for the project is proposed from the Park Improvement Program (PIP) and S94, and there may be potential for attracting WSAAS funds for the stage, and Capital Assistance funds for the playground. The Section 94 plan has already identified two projects for Memorial Park, namely \$10,000 for the installation of a picnic shelter and \$50,000 as future landscaping project. The funds for the picnic shelter are now available, which would then require a total of \$105,350 from the Park Improvement Program to complete stage 1.

Should Council wish to proceed with the implementation of the plan in the short term it would be necessary to reprioritise the Capital Works Program. Alternatively, implementation could be staged as future funds/grants become available.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the draft stage and landscape design for Memorial Park, Kurrajong as amended be adopted and implementation be considered as funds become available.

ATTACHMENTS:

There are no supporting documents for this report.

oooO END OF ITEM 6 Oooo

ITEM: 7 **Official Naming of Kemsley Downs Reserve/Redbank Creek Reserve**

FILE NUMBER: PK/251/ENG/INC26NBX.E02

REPORT:

Representations have been received from the North Richmond Cricket Club and Hawkesbury Sports Council to formally name the reserve at 92 (a) Pecks Road North Richmond, known unofficially as Kemsley Downs Reserve or Redbank Creek Reserve, either John Wellington Park or Wellington Park in honour of the late John Wellington. John, was instrumental in the development of the park, was on the residents' committee as well as the Hawkesbury Sports Council, and spent many hours voluntarily cleaning, maintaining and improving the area. A formal name for the park is currently not registered with the Geographical Names Board.

Mr L Peel, Kemsley Pastoral Co Pty Ltd, whose company dedicated the area to Council, was requested to comment on the proposal that the Reserve be formally named. He requested the Reserve be called "Peel Park".

After discussions with the Hawkesbury Sports Council it was felt appropriate that the Reserve be named "Peel Park" and it is proposed that the oval within the park be named "John Wellington Oval".

It is felt that supporting the both requests would give recognition to both Mr Peel & Mr John Wellington.

RECOMMENDATION:

That an application be submitted to the Geographical Names Board supporting the area at 92(a) Pecks Road, North Richmond, being named "Peel Park" with the oval within the park being named "John Wellington Oval".

ATTACHMENTS:

There are no supporting documents for this report.

Oooo END OF ITEM 7 Oooo

ITEM: 8 **The Richmond Mainstreet Program - Woodhills Car Park, Richmond - Extension of Time Restriction**

FILE NUMBER: GT230/019 PT04/ENG/INC26NBX.E03

PREVIOUS ITEM: 31, GPC Section 2 - Infrastructure (29.02.2000)

REPORT:

Council at its meeting held on 14 March 2000 resolved in part, that whilst the unrestricted parking within Woodhills Car Park remain the same, the then 2 (two) hour parking be trialled as 4 (four) hour parking for a 12 (twelve) month period, then be re-assessed.

This decision was in response to representations by the Richmond Committee for extension of the time limit based on the following reasons:

1. The main street has become an area of specialty shops, hairdressing salons and dining precinct with patrons finding a 2 (two) hour parking restriction too short to conduct business.
2. Businesses would also like to encourage browsing around this section of the commercial centre.

Signage was amended on 9 May 2000 and Hawkesbury City Chamber of Commerce conducted a survey with the following results:

“As Hawkesbury Chamber of Commerce Mainstreet Co-ordinator I surveyed a number of businesses in the Park Mall between Woodhills Carpark and Windsor Street in November 2001. I also spot - interviewed 12 individuals on their way to or from their cars at the carpark.

These surveys were not formally presented and cross-sections insufficient to compile statistics.

Comments included

- *Allowed time to complete all local mainstreet business - i.e. banking, post office, RTA business, improved access to Richmond Club and shopping.*
- *Staff were able to park more easily for the duration of the working day.*
- *Staff have access to shaded parking.*
- *Extra time will encourage empty mainstreet shops to re-fill.”*

The Association of Independent Retirees, Inc. (Hawkesbury Branch) has submitted representations supporting the altered parking restrictions.

INFRASTRUCTURE

Meeting Date: 26 March, 2002

Item: **8**

RECOMMENDATION:

That the current 4 (four) hour parking restriction applying to Woodhills Car Park, Richmond be retained.

ATTACHMENTS:

There are no supporting documents for this report.

oooO END OF ITEM 8 Oooo

ITEM: 9 **Baker Street Carpark - Lighting**

FILE NUMBER: 0272/R Pt2/ENG/INC26NBX.E13

REPORT:

Councillor Paine at the General Purpose Committee of 26 June 2001 enquired if additional lighting behind the Macquarie Arms Hotel was in any program for discussion.

The matter was investigated and it was noted that there was insufficient lighting within this area particularly in regards to the surrounding land use. A design was commissioned and a quotation has now been received for the provision of a single light pole to be mounted centrally within the carpark to improve the lighting within that area. The proposal utilises an existing pole that can no longer be used at the Indoor Stadium due to light spillage on adjacent residences.

The cost to provide a concrete footing, relocate the pole from the Indoor Stadium to the carpark and connect it to the transformer located on the south-western corner of the carpark is \$10,700.00 GST excluded.

It is proposed that the work be undertaken with funds being provided from the street lighting budget.

RECOMMENDATION:

That a centrally located light pole be provided within the Council carpark in Baker Street, Windsor at an estimated cost of \$10,700.00 (ten thousand seven hundred dollars), GST exclusive, with funds for this purpose being provided from the street lighting budget.

ATTACHMENTS:

There are no supporting documents for this report.

oooO END OF ITEM 9 Oooo

ITEM: 10 **Proposed Drainage within Lot 15 (DP39350) No 14 Manns Road, Wilberforce**

FILE NUMBER: 1304/R Pt2 P1304/40/ENG/INC26NBX.E09

REPORT:

Number 14 Manns Road was reclassified under resolution of Council from Community to Operational Land in June 1999 and it was sold to the current owners Mr Allan and Mrs Robyn Gardiner.

This property was one of several along Manns Road included in the Grono Point Landcare Groups bank stabilisation works project which included as part of the overall scheme a Stage II proposal to formally drain stormwater from Manns Road over Lot 15 to the river.

Detailed engineering plans showing the extent of the drainage works were prepared at the time by Council staff for estimate purposes and eventual implementation in a future works program.

The current landholders have recently indicated that they now wish to complete all of the outstanding drainage works as proposed over Lot 15 at their expense. In this regard Mr Gardiner has offered to:

1. Grant Council an easement to drain water three (3) metres wide along the western boundary of the subject land from Manns Road to the Hawkesbury River on the condition that Council be responsible for the survey and legal costs.
2. Complete the construction of the drainage system (as designed) within Lot 15 (a distance of 173 metres) to the Manns Road boundary at no cost to Council.

In regard to the offer at 2. Mr Gardiner has requested that Council construct that component of the drainage system (the top end) within the Manns Road reserve which would include the connection to that part of the line to be constructed within Lot 15. This work would involve the construction or modification of four (4) pits and the laying of 65 metres of concrete pipeline at a total estimated cost of \$28,000.00.

In subsequent discussions Mr Gardiner has been advised that his request in connection with the offer at 2., which would see the total project finalised, could only be considered for inclusion in a future operations program.

Mr Gardiner understood and acknowledged this.

RECOMMENDATION:

That:

1. a) Council accept Mr and Mrs Gardner's offer to dedicate a part of their land for drainage purposes; and,

 b) normal formal proceedings be commenced for the creation of a three (3) metre wide easement for drainage along the western boundary of Lot 15 (DP39350) in favour of Hawkesbury City council at no cost to the landholder.
2. The landholders offer to construct stormwater drainage within the dedicated easement at no cost to Council be accepted.
3. The top end, final stage construction of the proposed drainage system (within Manns Road) estimated to cost \$28,000.00 (twenty eight thousand dollars) be considered for inclusion in the 2002/2003 Operations Program.

ATTACHMENTS:

There are no supporting documents for this report.

oooO END OF ITEM 10 Oooo

ITEM: 11 **Un-named Quarter Sessions Road, Colo - Naming Proposal**

FILE NUMBER: GR120/003 Pt3/ENG/INC26NBX.E08

PREVIOUS ITEM: Item 3, GPC Section 2 - Infrastructure (26.02.2002)

PREVIOUS ITEM: Item 46, GPC Section 2 - Infrastructure (25.09.2001)

REPORT:

In relation to this matter, at its Ordinary meeting of 12 March 2002 Council resolved:

“That this matter be deferred to allow the Colo River Historical Society to meet as a group and submit comments.”

The Colo River Historical Society has written to Council in response and the letter is reproduced in full:

“I understand that at last weeks Council Meeting it was decided to defer the naming of McDougall Rd, off Putty or Singleton Rd for one month to allow this society to hold a meeting to reconsider our position.

I understand it was said that this society had not had a meeting before I wrote a letter to Council on the 13 December 2001. This was true as I was told that a letter had to be in at short notice. However at the meeting which this society held on Sunday, 10 March 2002, the four out of five financial members present confirmed the letter I wrote on December 13 2001.

We stand by our decision to suggest that the McDuggald (sic) name be given to the road as they were the first family to be granted land in the area the road traverses and were occupying the land in 1818. Their descendants still live on the Colo River and the family has not had anything named after them. Charles Whatley who did not received a grant until 1856 has Whatley’s Creek and Whatley’s Swamp named after him.”

The correspondence has been signed by the Chairman, Diana Hazard and includes the names of the other members, Maurice Gotts, Wanda Deacon and Alan Guymer.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the presently un-named Quarter Sessions Road situated approximately 1.4 kilometres north of Colo River Bridge and extending from Putty Road to the southern boundary of Lot 3 DP83506, be named McDougall Drive.

INFRASTRUCTURE

Meeting Date: 26 March, 2002

Item: **11**

ATTACHMENTS:

There are no supporting documents for this report.

oooO END OF ITEM 11 Oooo

ITEM: 12 **Yarramundi Bridge****FILE NUMBER:** GR070/010 Pt2/ENG/INC26NCX.E01

REPORT:

In accordance with Council resolution of 11 December 2001 -

“That Council supports the preferred option of a new concrete bridge on the improved alignment, however, request consideration be given to raising the deck level to approximately 9 metres to give improved access to that area west of the Nepean River.”

correspondence was forwarded to the Roads & Traffic Authority outlining the resolution.

The Authority has advised in part:

“The current low level bridge has approximately a 1 in 1 year flood return, while the 9.0m high bridge could have a 1 in 2 years flood return. A 9.0m high level structure would cost in the order of \$5M to \$6M including approaches and cannot be economically justified given the low level of traffic. The closure time due to flooding is also usually of short duration, with a detour available via the Great Western Highway at Springwood.

The Authority’s proposal is to build a new bridge with similar level as the existing bridge. However the community consultation has led the Authority to consider all options to slightly increase the level of the bridge without significantly affecting the total cost. This requirement has been included in the design brief to our design consultant. Exact height of increase will not be known until the design is finalised.”

RECOMMENDATION:

That the information be received.

ATTACHMENTS:

There are no supporting documents for this report.

oooO END OF ITEM 12 Oooo

ITEM: 13 **Windsor Mall****FILE NUMBER:** GR120/016 Pt5/ENG/INC26NCX.E11

REPORT:

Councillor Paine at Council's Ordinary meeting on 12 February 2002 requested a report on the costing and feasibility of opening the Mall between Baker Street and Kable Street, Windsor.

In relation to the cost of opening the Mall, it would be necessary to remove the waterwheel in its entirety; relocate/remove 15 gas lanterns, 8 electric light poles, 10 timber planter boxes, 14 trees, 11 street litter bins, 10 park benches and 10 table and seat combinations from the area which would be utilised as traffic lanes. It would also be necessary to adjust power, water and gas infrastructure which has been constructed between the current pavers and the old concrete road surface.

With regard to the cost of the road pavement, foot paving, drainage and kerb & gutter works there would be a number of options available and the cost depending which option was chosen would vary considerably. The original configuration of George Street was two travelling lanes and two parking lanes with a three metre footpath on either side and a kerb height of approximately 250mm. There are a variety of configurations which could be adopted between the original design and possibly a one way, one lane design incorporating indented parking, and the cost associated would vary depending on the configuration chosen. It would appear that the cost of re-opening the Mall to traffic between Baker and Kable Streets could vary between \$400,000.00 and \$750,000.00.

In terms of the feasibility of re-opening the Mall to traffic there would obviously be implications to the traffic flow throughout Windsor and as discussed at the February Planning Meeting a traffic study of Windsor is proposed to be undertaken and should Council wish to proceed with the opening of the Mall between Baker and Kable Streets, this proposal should be addressed as part of that study.

In terms of economic feasibility of opening this section of the Mall, there would be impacts on tourism, the operation of the Sunday Markets, and also the current mix of businesses operating within that section of the Mall. It would be considered prudent to undertake an economic analysis of those people/businesses which would be directly affected by any proposal to alter the current traffic/pedestrian mix within the Mall. It is estimated that such a study would cost in the vicinity of \$20,000.00-\$30,000.00.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the information be received.

INFRASTRUCTURE

Meeting Date: 26 March, 2002

Item: **13**

ATTACHMENTS:

There are no supporting documents for this report.

oooO END OF ITEM 13 Oooo

ITEM: 14 Bellbird Hill Lookout**FILE NUMBER:** P243/5425/ENG/INC26NCX.E10

REPORT:

At Council's Ordinary meeting of 12 February 2002 Councillor Calvert requested that a report be provided outlining a proposal to upgrade the Bellbird Hill Lookout given that the National Parks' building was to be erected on an alternate site.

A recent history of the lookout is provided below:

- In March 1996 permission was granted subject to conditions to a company, R&L Solutions, of Kurrajong Heights to install one set of coin operated binoculars at the lookout. It appears that this proposal did not proceed.
- In October 1996 in consideration of a concept plan incorporating a restaurant/kiosk facilities, viewing platform, amenities/facilities as well as provide for tourism information and services, Council resolved that expressions of interest be invited for the leasing, licensing, design and construction or purchase of part of the Bellbird Hill Lookout site for that purpose.

Three submissions of interest were received in relation to the development of the site and in February 1997 it was resolved that the feasibility of developing a tourist facility incorporating a restaurant/kiosk, viewing platform, amenities/facilities, tourism information services and a forest walking trail within the property be further investigated. It was further resolved that the site be reclassified from community to operational land and rezoned to allow the development of the facilities outlined within the current 6A Open Space (existing recreation) zoning.

- In April 1998 a proposal was put forward by a Mr Bill Fitzhenry to develop a recreational facility on the site, incorporating visitor facilities, a kiosk, viewing platform, together with a toboggan slide and walking trails. Council at its June meeting resolved that the proposal be supported in principle and the applicants be requested to provide more information including concept plans and details of the contribution they are requesting from the Council towards the project. It was also resolved to prepare a draft Local Environmental Plan to permit the use of the property for the purposes of a toboggan slide in conjunction with tourist facilities, with the consent of Council.

At its September 1998 meeting Council resolved not to support the proposal which included the development of a toboggan slide due to community concerns and environmental considerations. It was also resolved that the feasibility of developing a tourist facility at Bellbird Lookout incorporating a restaurant/kiosk, viewing platform, amenities facilities, tourism information services and the forest walking trail be further investigated, and the

Department of Urban Affairs and Planning be informed of the decision not to proceed with the toboggan slide but to continue with the draft LEP to reclassify the land and to allow its use for tourist facilities.

- In February 1999 a public hearing was conducted regarding the proposal to rezone the land from community to operational and to allow the land to be used for tourist facilities. Council at its February 1999 meeting resolved to accept the report of the public hearing, amend the draft Local Environmental Plan as recommended by the Parliamentary Counsel, exercise its delegation in respect of the Section 69 Report on Best Practice Guidelines for the preparation of a draft Local Environmental Plan & Report, refer the draft LEP to the Department of Urban Affairs and Planning for gazettal and advise those who made submissions on the draft plan of Councils decision.
- At its meeting in December 2000 Council considered a report regarding the possible location of the National Parks & Wildlife Service Information Centre on the Bellbird Hill Reserve and resolved to support that proposal and appropriately reclassify the land for the Service's purposes on the basis that the Service would develop the information centre and associated offices within a period of two years. Council also resolved to work with the National Parks & Wildlife Service to try and ensure that this proposal becomes a city gateway.

In August 2001 Council was advised that the National Parks & Wildlife Service's preferred site for the Visitors' Centre is the truck stop on Bells Line of Road approximately six (6) kilometres west of Kurrajong Heights.

In relation to the timber decking viewing platform, this was constructed by Kurrajong Rotary Club in 1980 and was demolished early in 1997 due to termite infestation of the structure which was not able to be repaired. To replace this structure with a decking of approximately 12 metres by 4 metres in area with materials which would be resistant to termite attack would cost an estimated \$40,000.00.

Should Council wish to proceed with this project it would be necessary to reprioritise the Capital Works Program to provide this funding.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the information be received.

ATTACHMENTS:

There are no supporting documents for this report.

oooO END OF ITEM 14 Oooo

ITEM: 15 **Proposed Kerb & Gutter adjacent to Freemans Reach Primary School**

FILE NUMBER: 2312/R Pt7/ENG/INC26NCX.E06

REPORT:

Council resolved on 26 June 2000 that funding in the amount of \$52,210.00 be allocated towards the construction of kerb and guttering and drainage in front of the Freemans Reach Primary School. The work was programmed to be undertaken during the Christmas Holidays of that year. Negotiations with the National Parks & Wildlife Service and Local Aboriginal Groups have been proceeding since November 2000.

A commencement date cannot be established at this stage as the matter is still under consideration by the relevant Aboriginal Groups. The Consulting Archaeologist anticipates a favourable reply from the Aboriginal Groups in the near future.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the information be received.

ATTACHMENTS:

There are no supporting documents for this report.

oooO END OF ITEM 15 Oooo

ITEM: 16 Waterways Authority Noise Limits**FILE NUMBER:** PK/205/ENG/INC26NCX.E12

REPORT:

Council at its General Purpose Committee on 23 February 1999 was advised of a notification from the Waterways Authority that a new policy was being implemented in regard to processing of aquatic licences.

Applicants who wish to exceed 100dB(A) must now seek Council approval. If approved, Council must then provide the Authority with written concurrence of the noise level for an event prior to the licence being issued.

Previously, aquatic licences have been issued by the Waterways Authority for noise emissions of up to 105dB(A), with special exemptions for events where 115dB(A) is required for short periods. The Waterways Authority has indicated that they will no longer issue licences for noise levels above 100dB(A) except with concurrence from Council.

Council in consideration of this report resolved that:

- “1 Existing users groups and events which were held during 1998 be supported during 1999/2000 at the noise levels which were applicable during 1998.*
- 2 Any events by new user groups or new events proposed by existing user groups be required to comply with the current noise regulations.*
- 3 The matter be reviewed prior to the 2001 Governor Phillip Park event calendar being considered.”*

The matter was again reported to the General Purpose Committee on 29 August 2000 and Council resolved at its Ordinary Meeting of 12 September 2000 that:

- “1 The status quo remain.*
- 2 Council undertake negotiations with the speed boat clubs so that Waterways Authority requirements will be met within a 5-6 year period.”*

RECOMMENDATION:

That the information be received.

INFRASTRUCTURE

Meeting Date: 26 March, 2002

Item: **16**

ATTACHMENTS:

There are no supporting documents for this report.

oooO END OF ITEM 16 Oooo