



general  
purpose  
committee

1  
section

environment

**GENERAL PURPOSE COMMITTEE - ENVIRONMENT**

**Table of Contents**

**Meeting Date:** 29 April 2003

**Page 2**

| <b>ITEM</b>                     | <b>SUBJECT</b>                                                                                                                                       | <b>PAGE</b> |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| <b>SECTION 1 - ENVIRONMENT.</b> |                                                                                                                                                      |             |
| <b>26:</b>                      | <b>Proposed Aviary, Lot 32, DP 253767, No. 4 Kirra Place, Wilberforce</b><br>DA 1582/02/EVD/END29NAX.V04 .....                                       | <b>3</b>    |
| <b>27:</b>                      | <b>Heritage Week 2003</b><br>GH020/003/EVD/END29NBX.V02 .....                                                                                        | <b>15</b>   |
| <b>28:</b>                      | <b>Linking Richmond Project - Urban Improvement Program Community Partnership Grant</b><br>79347/EVD/END29NBX.V05 .....                              | <b>17</b>   |
| <b>29:</b>                      | <b>Response to Question Without Notice from Councillor Finch Regarding Euthanasia of Animals at the Animal Shelter</b><br>107/EVD/END29NCX.V01 ..... | <b>19</b>   |
| <b>30:</b>                      | <b>Status of Current Planning Projects</b><br>79347/EVD/END29NCX.V06 .....                                                                           | <b>20</b>   |

**oooO END OF TABLE OF CONTENTS Oooo**

## ENVIRONMENT

Meeting Date: 29 April, 2003

Item: 26

**ITEM: 26**                      **Proposed Aviary, Lot 32, DP 253767, No. 4 Kirra Place, Wilberforce**

**FILE NUMBER:**              DA 1582/02/EVD/END29NAX.V04

---

**Applicant:**                      Raymond Borg  
**Applicants Rep:**  
**Owner:**                          Mr and Mrs Borg  
**Stat. Provisions:**              Hawkesbury Local Environmental Plan 1989 (HLEP 1989)  
**Area:**                              1324 square metres  
**Zone:**                              Residential 2(a)  
**Advertising:**                    2 January to 16 January 2003 (initial proposal)  
**Date Received:**                16 December 2002

Key Issues:                      ?      Visual impact/siting  
                                         ?      Number of birds  
                                         ?      Health issues  
                                         ?      Noise/odour  
                                         ?      Existing aviary

Action:                              Approval subject to conditions

---

### REPORT:

#### Introduction

The applicant seeks approval to erect a bird aviary for the keeping and breeding of racing pigeons.

This matter is being reported to Council at the request of Councillor Williams. This report assesses the key issues of the proposal.

#### Background

The initial application proposed to construct an aviary structure (32.3 metres x 2.4 metres and 3.8 - 4.2 metres above the ground) as well as a rear verandah.

The applicant, in response to the concerns raised and results of Conciliation Meetings with the residents and Council officers, amended the proposed development by reducing the size and height of the aviary structure to (8.4 metres x 2.4 metres with height 2.6 metres).

The verandah was deleted from the application and incorporated with the proposed garage application. This is a separate development application, which is not the subject of this report.

**The Proposal**

The application seeks approval to construct an aviary structure along the rear boundary that adjoins Poidevin Lane. The aviary structure is 8.4 metres x 2.4 metres, with a maximum height of 2.6 metres.

The proposed and existing aviary structures will house a maximum of 150 (one hundred and fifty) pigeons which are used for racing and breeding. The site contains an existing aviary structure that currently houses between 50-60 (fifty to sixty) pigeons.

**Statutory Situation**

Hawkesbury Local Environmental Plan 1989.

The subject land is zoned Residential 2(a).

The proposed development is permissible with development consent.

**Community Consultation**

The amended proposal was notified to those respondents who made submission on the initial proposal. 4 (four) submissions were received.

The initial proposal for the larger aviary structure was notified to adjoining and surrounding owners and 4 (four) submissions were received.

As a result of the submissions to the initial proposal in accordance with Council's adopted Conflict Management Policy, a Conciliation Meeting was held between the respondents and Council officers. The Conciliation Meeting was aimed at identifying the issues and to explore possible solutions. At the conclusion of the meeting, Council officers arranged a meeting with the applicant to discuss the issues, suggested solutions to and negotiated outcomes.

The Conciliation Meeting resulted in the following requests from the respondents:

- Opportunity to retain the existing aviary building and carry out minor additions to incorporate under one roof, an open section of the aviary building.
- Provide details on the management of pigeons to reduce impacts on odour, noise, waste disposal.
- Limit the number of pigeons to the existing number on site 50-60 (fifty to sixty).

A further meeting was held with the applicant to outline the requests of the respondents and explore solutions to the issues. The applicant considered the respondent's comments and amended the proposal.

## Planning Assessment

### Visual Impact/Siting

#### *Respondents:*

The respondents are concerned about the visual impact and siting of the proposed aviary.

Their dwelling in Poidevin Lane face the rear yard areas of the properties in Kirra Place.

The respondents are opposed to the location of the aviary structure along the rear property boundary that will face the front of their properties.

The respondents are prepared to accept a minor extension to the existing aviary building rather than the new aviary being constructed along the rear boundary.

#### *Applicant's Response:*

“1. *Location of the new aviary*

*We wish to erect the new aviary in a position that is best for the birds, as the morning sun will keep the aviary dry and being 2 (two) metres from the back fence makes it the ideal place to compliment our other improvements which we wish to make.*

2. *Reduction in size of aviary*

*I have reduced the original size from 32 metres to 8.4 metres in length, well over 70%, also in height by 0.600 centimetres.*

3. *Extending the existing aviary*

*When the construction starts for the new garage we will have to reduce the existing aviary to accommodate it; there is no room elsewhere to extend. We have to keep the breeding birds and racing birds separate and to do this we have to construct a new aviary.”*

### Comment

The proposed aviary is located 1.750 metres from the rear boundary. The applicant has planted a number of shrubs along this setback to provide a visual landscape screen when mature. The rear boundary has a length of 58 metres which adjoins Poidevin Lane. The proposed aviary building along the rear boundary has been reduced in size to 8.2 metres which represents a coverage of approximately 14% of the total rear boundary distance. The height of the aviary has been reduced to a maximum of 2.6 metres, which is of similar scale to other outbuildings such as garages.

The siting of the aviary elsewhere on site was discussed, however, the applicant, as indicated in the submissions, considered the proposed location to be the most appropriate for the birds. It is considered that the siting, scale, bulk of the proposed aviary is consistent with other outbuildings in the locality and there will not be a significant visual impact on the adjoining properties. This impact will be further reduced once the existing landscaping planted along the rear fence, is established.

### Number of Birds

#### *Respondents:*

The respondents are concerned with the number of birds proposed to be kept on the property.

They consider the site is not large enough for keeping the number of birds and that the area is residential.

The respondents were prepared to agree to a maximum of 50-60 (fifty to sixty) birds being kept on site in the existing aviary.

The number of birds has potential to impact on the health of their families and the noise generated by the pigeons.

#### *Applicant:*

In response to the maximum number of birds to be kept on the property, the applicant has indicated the following:-

*“Bird numbers throughout a racing year.*

*Breeding season is from September 15 to December 10 to replace stock up to 120 (one hundred and twenty) birds. Racing season starts May 15 to October 15, with 21 (twenty one) races during the season. Starting with 120 (one hundred and twenty) birds, we can only race 25 (twenty five) birds at one time and on average we will lose 15% - 25% of them. Thus the amount of birds will decrease each race and throughout the year it will fluctuate from 0 (zero) to 120 (one hundred and twenty) birds. I have been racing for nine years and the last racing season left me with only 12 (twelve) birds.”*

### Comment

Council does not have any policy in respect to the maximum number of pigeons that can be kept on a residential property. Council officers have contacted the following Councils in respect to their requirements or policies for the keeping of birds/pigeons in a residential area:

Baulkham Hills/Penrith/Fairfield - No policy

Blacktown and Holroyd - These councils have no policy, but if complaints are received, Blacktown has a Local Order Policy which -

- limits pigeons to 150 (one hundred and fifty) if the person is a registered member of a pigeon or racing organisation;
- pigeons to be kept 5 (five) metres from buildings;
- Pigeon loft on hard paving and drained. If problems continue, the number of pigeons may be limited and distance to buildings increased.

Holroyd Council:

- limits the maximum number of pigeons to 100 (one hundred), unless prior approval is given;
- limits release times to twice a day between 6.00a.m.- 8.00a.m. and 4.00p.m.- 6.00p.m.

The Local Government Act 1993 and Regulations gives Council the authority to control the keeping of birds and has a duty to ensure the amenity of the surrounding properties is not affected.

Based on the information from surrounding Councils, the maximum number of pigeons that can be kept on a residential property ranges from 100 (one hundred) to 150 (one hundred and fifty).

The applicant has provided information to justify the need for keeping 150 (one hundred and fifty) pigeons on the site, being 120 (one hundred and twenty) for racing and 30 (thirty) involved with breeding.

The applicant has been asked to consider reducing the number of pigeons but, in order for them to remain competitive in the racing season, 120 (one hundred and twenty) racing birds are required, which will diminish over the racing season. The 30 (thirty) breeding pigeons are used to replenish the racing stock for the next season. It is difficult to assess the impact of 150 (one hundred and fifty) pigeons as there are only between 50 (fifty) - 60 (sixty) pigeons housed on site at present.

It is considered appropriate to permit the applicant to house 150 (one hundred and fifty) pigeons on site for a trial period of 12 (twelve) months and, during that time, Council officers could assess and monitor the impact the pigeons have on the amenity of the local area. At the end of the period, a further report could be prepared with the results of the assessment and monitoring during the trial to further consider the maximum number of pigeons that should be kept on the site.

### Health Issues

#### *Respondents:*

The respondents are concerned the pigeons have potential to cause health problems to the local residents from lice, ticks and mites. As well, the pigeons encourage vermin, snakes and feral cats.

*Applicant:*

The applicant has indicated that all the birds are regularly dipped in Mellow-wash and cages are sprayed with Coopex for lice and other vermin. The birds are constantly checked by a qualified Vet and all birds are vaccinated. Under the cages, baits are used as well as the use of fox terriers to control the mice, etc. The feed for the birds is stored in a secure drum in a shed to reduce potential vermin infestations.

*Comment*

The use of chemicals on the cages and birds will help to control ticks, lice and mites. If the cages are maintained in a clean state on a daily basis, this should reduce the potential to attract rodents, mice and the like.

The preparation of a detailed management plan on how the activity will be operated and controlled will also assist in reducing any potential impact.

*Noise/Odour**Respondents:*

The respondents are concerned with the potential impact caused by noise and odour generated by 150 (one hundred and fifty) pigeons. The current housing of pigeons on the site has caused problems in terms of odour and noise.

*Applicant:*

The applicant has indicated that most of the noise is generated when male and female birds are together for mating and this only happens once a year for approximately 3 (three) months. The applicant has suggested that wild birds would cause a lot more noise.

The floor of each cage is cleaned out at least once a day and a total clean up once a week. The pigeon waste, which is approximately 10 (ten) kilograms a month, is disposed of in the garden.

*Comment*

It is difficult to monitor the likely noise impact of the pigeons without conducting noise testing on the appropriate number of pigeons. Allowing the keeping of 150 (one hundred and fifty) pigeons on site for a trial period would allow monitoring to take place and allow a more informed decision to be made on the number of pigeons that could be kept on the site that would not generate significant impact on the amenity of the neighbourhood.

### Existing Aviary Structure

The site currently contains an existing aviary structure which currently contains 50 (fifty) to 60 (sixty) pigeons. The aviary has been constructed on site within the last 3 (three) to 6 (six) months by the applicant although only slightly larger than that which is exempt from approval. The construction of the aviary did require approval of Council. A search of Council's records has failed to find any approval for the aviary structure.

### **Conclusion**

The proposed aviary structure is considered not to have a significant visual impact which will be reduced once the existing planting along the rear fence has grown to maturity. The size and scale of the proposed aviary is considered to be consistent with the surrounding outbuildings.

The impact on the amenity of the area with the keeping of 150 (one hundred and fifty) pigeons is difficult to assess precisely without some specific monitoring of noise and odour. An option to enable further monitoring of the pigeons is to allow the applicant to house 150 (one hundred and fifty) pigeons for a trial period in which Council officers could undertake further assessment and monitoring of the impact. At the end of this period, further consideration could be given to the number of pigeons on the site, based on the results during the 12 (twelve) month trial period. Based on the requirements of some other Councils for the keeping of pigeons in residential areas, it appears that the number may range between 100 (one hundred) and 150 (one hundred and fifty) pigeons. A suitable management plan would also help reduce and manage any further potential impacts the keeping of pigeons may have on the neighbourhood.

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

That the application be approved subject to the following conditions:-

#### ***General***

1. To confirm and clarify the terms of this approval, the development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved stamped plans submitted with Development Application No. DA 1582/02 and any supportive documentation, except as otherwise provided by the conditions of this consent.
2. This consent is for a trial period of 12 (twelve) months only from completion of the proposed aviary. The applicant is required to formally advise Council when the aviary is completed and when the number of birds housed reached (a) 100 (one hundred) and (b) 150 (one hundred and fifty). At the end of the 12 (twelve) month period a fresh development application will be required.
3. Compliance with the provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment (Amendment) Act 1979, and the Building Code of Australia.

4. No excavation or site works shall be commenced prior to the issue of the construction certificate.
5. The construction of the building, including the roof, shall be in materials of a low reflective quality or similarly treated materials.

***Prior to Issue of Construction Certificate***

6. Submission of a Plan of Management that addresses:
  - a) Odour management measures
  - b) Waste disposal
  - c) Vermin control
  - d) Aviary clean out
7. Details of the external materials and colours.
8. Details of the footings for the aviary.
9. Details of the proposed drainage for the aviary building.

***Prior to Commencement of Work***

10. The applicant or person having the benefit of this development consent must give at least two days notice prior to commencement of works to Council of the intention to commence works. This notice is to be given in accordance with form 7 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 1998.
11. The applicant shall advise Council of the name, address and contract number of the principal certifier, in accordance with Section 81A 2(b) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1997.

***During Construction***

12. All building work must be carried out in accordance with provisions of the Building Code of Australia.
13. Inspections shall be carried out and compliance certificates issued by Council or an appropriately accredited person for the following components of construction:
  - (a) foundations;
  - (b) on completion of the structure

***Use of the Site***

14. The pigeons are only to be released once a day between 4.00p.m. and 5.00p.m.

## ENVIRONMENT

Meeting Date: 29 April, 2003

Item: **26**

15. The pigeon aviaries are to be kept clean and maintained in a satisfactory condition at all times, with excess food being removed from the aviary daily.
16. The maximum number of pigeons to be kept on the premises is 150, being 120 for racing and 30 for breeding.
17. All feed is to be stored in a sealed, vermin-proof container.
18. All waste materials to be stored and disposed of weekly.
19. The subject development, including landscaping, is to be maintained in a clean and tidy manner.
20. The area to be used for the approved development being limited to the area shown on the submitted plans.
21. The development shall be conducted in such a manner that the LA(eq) noise levels, measured at any point in accordance with the NSW EPA's Industrial Noise Policy (2000), do not exceed 5dB(A) (LAeq) above background noise levels with respect to noise amenity of residential dwellings.
22. The activity shall not interfere with the amenity of the neighbourhood by reason of emission of noise, smell, dust, waste product or otherwise.

### ATTACHMENTS:

- AT-1** Locality Plan
- AT-2** Site Plan
- AT-3** Elevations

## ENVIRONMENT

Meeting Date: 29 April, 2003

Item: **26**

Attachment 1 - Locality Plan

**ENVIRONMENT**

Meeting Date: 29 April, 2003

Item: **26**

Attachment 2 - Site Plan

**ENVIRONMENT**

Meeting Date: 29 April, 2003

Item: **26**

Attachment 3 - Elevations

oooO END OF ITEM 26 Oooo

**ITEM: 27                      Heritage Week 2003****FILE NUMBER:**            GH020/003/EVD/END29NBX.V02

---

**REPORT:**

Heritage Week 2003 was held during the week of 5 April to Sunday 13 April 2003 across the State. During the week, Council's Heritage Advisory Committee (committee) and the heritage and historical groups of Hawkesbury hosted a number of events based around the theme of "freshwater-water, waves and wanderings", focusing on life on the Hawkesbury River. As advised on 8 April 2003, a follow up report on Heritage Week events would be prepared, which is the purposes of this report.

The events that were held during Heritage Week and associated details were:

1.     Hawkesbury River boat Collection Display. (All week.) Hosted by Hawkesbury Regional Museum.
2.     Hawkesbury Waterways Photographic Display. (All week.) Hosted by Hawkesbury City Council Library at the Library.
3.     Historical Walk - Peninsula Area of Windsor. (Sunday 6 April 2003.) Hosted by Hawkesbury Historical Society. Approximately 60 people attended the walk, which was excellent. The combination of the walk, exploring different elements of our heritage, a visit to an art gallery and Devonshire tea made the walk interesting for who attended. All had an enjoyable afternoon around Windsor.
4.     Hawkesbury Waterways Seminar. (Wednesday 9 April 2003.) Hosted by Hawkesbury City Council Library. Guest speakers, which included Diana Hazards, Ian Jack and Jan Barkly-Jack, talked about the social history of the rivers of Hawkesbury district. The seminar held in the Tebbutt Room of the Library was filled with interested attendees. Attendance was up on previous heritage week seminars, which have also been well attended over the years.
5.     Hawkesbury River History Tour. (Sunday 13 April 2003) Hosted by Hawkesbury Branch of the National Trust. Approximately 50 people attended the tour, which was excellent. The combination of the driving and River boat tour of select heritage properties gave an insight into farming life on the Hawkesbury River in the early days, the importance of the River for communication and transport and to the local Aboriginal people. An enjoyable day, which included lunch at a heritage item, was had by all who attended. The support of the heritage items owners is appreciated, which enabled the tour to take place.
6.     "Wet Your Whistle" Wine & Cheese Gathering. (Sunday 13 April 2003.) Hosted as a joint event by the Committee and the heritage and historical organisations of Hawkesbury. Approximately 40 people attended the gathering to here Council's Heritage Advisor Donald Ellsmore talk on the

importance of river boats to the Hawkesbury district (and their obvious link to the River which has and continues to shape how people live in the area.) River boats are one of the historical themes of the area which should be promoted. All who attended enjoyed the opportunity to meet the Mayor, Councillor Stubbs, the Chairperson of the Heritage Advisory Committee, Councillor Finch and other interested persons in a informal manner to talk about local heritage themes and activities etc.

In conjunction with the above events, the open day at Hawkesbury Hospital on 3 April 2003 to view the archaeological dig, which uncovered evidence from the convict use of the building, was well attended by the community. Reports from the day reveal a strong local interest in archaeology and the support of Council for undertaking the archaeological investigation as part of the overall conservation of Hawkesbury Hospital. Further opportunities to investigate this or other sites would more than likely be well received by the community.

Attendance at all Hawkesbury Heritage Week events was excellent given that during the week there are a number of events held across the State which the Hawkesbury district competed with.

The initiative of the Committee in coordinating Heritage Week via the one page flyer of events assisted marketing of local events. The flyer in conjunction with the communication activities of Council like the feature articles in the local newspapers successfully promoted Heritage Week and heritage generally in Hawkesbury. The National Trust's heritage week magazine is somewhat difficult to use and does not focus on local events adequately or tend to attract other interested persons.

Overall, it is considered that Council, in conjunctions with the historical and heritage organisations of Hawkesbury, held a successful Heritage Week capitalising on established formats (ie tours, walks and talks) that generally work for all public activities. These events could be the basis for future Hawkesbury heritage week activities.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

1. That the information about Heritage Week 2003 be noted.
2. That the heritage and historical organisations and heritage item owners involved in Heritage Week 2003 activities be thanked for their involvement.

**ATTACHMENTS:**

There are no supporting documents for this report.

oooO END OF ITEM 27 Oooo

**ITEM: 28**                      **Linking Richmond Project - Urban Improvement Program Community Partnership Grant**

**FILE NUMBER:**            79347/EVD/END29NBX.V05

**PREVIOUS ITEM:**        36, GPC Section 1 - Environment (11.03.2003)

---

## **REPORT:**

### **Introduction**

As previously reported, Council has accepted a grant of \$50,000 (fifty thousand dollars) from Planning NSW under the Urban Improvement Program Community Partnership Grants Program. The purpose of this report is to provide an opportunity for a Councillor to be involved as a member of the Project Team.

### **Report**

Following acceptance of the Grant, a Project Team was brought together, comprising the following Council staff:

- Manager Building and Development, Robert Montgomery
- Manager Community Services/Social Planning, Joseph Litwin
- Manager Parks and Recreation, Sean Perry
- Manager Policy and Corporate Communications, Alannah Norman/Sonia Porter
- Arts Events Co-ordinator, Prue Charlton
- Town Planner, Fiona Mann

Polly Wheen, the Chamber of Commerce Mainstreet Co-ordinator is also a member of the Project Team.

To date, the Project Team has held two meetings. The following preliminary tasks have been carried out:

- Major stakeholders identified.
- Meeting arranged with selected public artists from gallery project short list.
- Community consultation program is to be a multi-faceted process involving public meetings, focus meetings with major stakeholders, a stall at the Lions Markets, and an essay competition.

The focus of the project is “linking Richmond” with the emphasis on linking two shopping precincts, linking our past with the present, and linking the community. The scope of the project may involve some or all of the following:

- a physical pathway
- interpretative pathway
- lighting

## ENVIRONMENT

Meeting Date: 29 April, 2003

Item: **28**

- furniture
- public art
- visual linkage
- sensory (eg sound) linkage

At this time, no specific proposals have been formulated.

It is considered appropriate that a Councillor be nominated as a representative on the Project Team. By way of background, Councillor Allan has been closely involved with the Mainstreet project for many years, and was instrumental in bringing senior Planning NSW staff (responsible for the Urban Improvement Program) to Richmond to introduce them to the Mainstreet project and to address Council.

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

That Council nominate a Councillor to be a member of the linking Richmond Project Team.

### **ATTACHMENTS:**

There are no supporting documents for this report.

oooO END OF ITEM 28 Oooo

## ENVIRONMENT

Meeting Date: 29 April, 2003

Item: **29**

**ITEM: 29**                      **Response to Question Without Notice from Councillor Finch Regarding Euthanasia of Animals at the Animal Shelter**

**FILE NUMBER:**            107/EVD/END29NCX.V01

---

### **REPORT:**

At the Council meeting of 25 March 2003, Councillor Finch requested a report to be prepared to give information on the time that animals are kept at the Animal Shelter before they are euthanased.

The Companion Animals Act requires animals that have been impounded to be kept a minimum of 14 (fourteen) days where an animal is micro chipped or a minimum of 7 (seven) days where there is no microchip or other identification on the animal before it is euthanased.

Council's animal shelter staff make every effort to either rehouse an animal, or place it with one of the animal welfare and rescue organisations that we have close affiliations with, as an alternative to euthanasing. Unfortunately with the large number of animals that come through the animal shelter, there are still high numbers of animals being destroyed each month due to owners of companion animals not having their pets micro chipped (which is now required by law) or identified by a tag and registered on the lifetime registration scheme.

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

That the information be received.

### **ATTACHMENTS:**

There are no supporting documents for this report.

oooO END OF ITEM 29 Oooo

**ENVIRONMENT**

Meeting Date: 29 April, 2003

Item: 30

**ITEM: 30                      Status of Current Planning Projects****FILE NUMBER:**            79347/EVD/END29NCX.V06**PREVIOUS ITEM:**        36, GPC Section 1 - Environment (11.03.2003)**REPORT:**

The following list provides a brief status of the current planning projects underway. Following Council's direction at the February Strategic Planning Weekend, three consultant planners have been engaged to assist in completion of the projects. This is considered to be a cost effective and efficient way of completing the substantial work program.

| <b>Project</b>                                                                            | <b>Matters Outstanding</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Amendment 108</b><br>HSADS (LEP8/98)                                                   | Amendments to plan re land use matrix and Rural Living zones<br>Re-exhibition of the plan with agriculture chapter of Hawkesbury DCP<br>Intensive agriculture chapter of DCP to be prepared<br>Revised Section 65 (from Planning NSW) |
| <b>Amendment 130</b><br>Proposed Multi Unit Housing Zone                                  | Awaiting Section 65 Certificate from Planning NSW<br>Exhibition of LEP                                                                                                                                                                |
| <b>Amendment 138</b><br>Reclassification of community land LEP                            | Planning NSW to issue Section 65 Certificate<br>Public exhibition and hearing to be organised                                                                                                                                         |
| <b>Amendment 126</b><br>Protection of Threatened Species and Regional Wetlands (LEP 6/00) | Council resolved to continue with LEP<br>Section 68 to be finalised                                                                                                                                                                   |
| <b>Amendment 136</b><br>Agriculture Subdivision                                           | Section 69 report to be completed and sent to Planning NSW for gazettal                                                                                                                                                               |
| <b>Amendment 125</b><br>Farm Gate Sales                                                   | Section 69 report completed<br>Awaiting Parliamentary Counsel Opinion prior to Section 69 being forwarded to Planning NSW                                                                                                             |
| <b>Amendment 139</b><br>Exempt and Complying                                              | Section 54 sent to Planning NSW<br>Plan to be drafted                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| <b>Amendment 149</b><br>Industrial and commercial zones                                   | Amendments to draft plan required as per Council resolution of 11 February 2003<br>Section 69 report required                                                                                                                         |

**ENVIRONMENT**

Meeting Date: 29 April, 2003

Item: 30

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Amendment 141</b><br>Acid Sulphate Soils and<br>1003 Blaxlands Ridge Road                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Section 69 report completed<br>Awaiting PC Opinion prior to Section 69 being sent to<br>Planning NSW                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| <b>Amendment 142</b><br>Ham Street site to 4(a)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Section 54 to be sent to Planning NSW<br>Pre-consultation and Section 62 consultation required                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| <b>Amendment 115</b><br>(LEP2/99) Hawkesbury Valley<br>Holden site                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Section 62 consultation, awaiting response from Heritage<br>Council                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| <b>Hawkesbury Development<br/>Control Plan Chapters -</b><br>Contaminated Land<br>Waste Not<br>Bushfire<br>Effluent Disposal<br>Caravan Parks<br>Intensive Agriculture<br>Pollution Control Principles<br>North Richmond, Richmond<br>and Windsor Main Streets<br>Stormwater/Drainage<br>Lot Averaging | Adopted - to be included into DCP<br>Adopted - to be included into DCP<br>New chapter to be written and exhibited<br>To be finalised and re-exhibited<br>New chapter to be written and exhibited<br>To be exhibited with re-exhibition of Amendment 108<br>To be exhibited with re-exhibition of Amendment 108<br><br>Develop over the next three years<br>New chapter to be written and exhibited<br>New chapter to be written and exhibited |
| <b>WSROC Regional Vision</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Report required for inclusion into the Regional Vision<br>Framework                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the information be received.

**ATTACHMENTS:**

There are no supporting documents for this report.

oooO END OF ITEM 30 Oooo